

REMARKS

The Action mailed November 3, 2005 posed a question as to "the outside device" recitation in claim 23, to which the foregoing amended version of claim 23 is responsive. Further, the dependency of method claim 23 has been corrected, to depend from method claim 25. No new matter is presented and, accordingly, approval and entry of the Amendment is respectfully requested.

The Action also asserted that claim 5 appears unclear, since it allegedly mixes different embodiments. (Also Item 3 of the Action) To the contrary, claim 5 claims the structure shown in FIG. 19 and the "positioning sensor" of claim 5 corresponds to the sensor unit 244 in FIG. 19. Accordingly, it is submitted that no correction is required in claim 5.

CONCLUSION

In accordance with the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the objections have been overcome. There being no remaining rejections or objections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: December 21, 2005

By: 
H. J. Staas
Registration No. 22,010

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501